

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/784,930	Applicant(s) HONMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul A. Zucker	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Paul A. Zucker.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael R. Davis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPB Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Davis to indicate that it had been brought to the Examiner's attention that claim 9 depended on canceled claim 7. Mr. Davis agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment of the following form to address this issue:

The claims have been amended as set forth in the Detailed Action.